# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,406	03/01/2004	Michael John O'Loughlin	5308-395	1454
20792 759	•		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428			DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
RALEIGH, NC 2"	ALEIGH, NC 27627		PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1765	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	243	12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,406	O'LOUGHLIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duy-Vu N. Deo	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stall Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	<b>à</b>				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 21-23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Di Cioccio et al. (US 2006/0125057).

Di Cioccio describes a composite SiCOI substrate comprising an off-axis SiC substrate with a polytype selected from the group of 4H and 6H (paragraphs [0020,0042,0043]) and epitaxial layer of SiC on the substrate (paragraphs [0021,0022,0044]). Di Cioccio describes the method for forming the substrate including successfully growing several SiC layers on the substrate (paragraphs [0026,0027], claims 2-4). This method appears to be the same as that of the invention method for forming the substrate as described in the summery of the specification. Therefore, the substrate formed by Di Cioccio would include claimed carrot defect having a nucleation point in the vicinity of an interface between the substrate and the epitaxial layer, wherein the carrot defect terminates within the epitaxial layer. The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*. 205 USPQ 594.

Art Unit: 1765

Referring to claim 26, the substrate further includes a buffer layer (paragraphs [0056,0058]).

Referring to claims 27 and 28, the flow rate of the doping agent, nitrogen, is from 2-2000 sccm (paragraph 0038]). This flow rate would create a dopant concentration of 1E18 cm<sup>-3</sup> or greater.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 24, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Di Cioccio as applied to claim22 above, and further in view of admitted prior art.

Referring to claims 24, 25, Di Cioccio is silent about the SiC substrate is cut offaxis towards the <1120> direction or perpendicular to the c-axis. However, it is well known to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to grow the epitaxial layer on such substrate as described in pages 2 and 3 of the specification. Therefore, one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would find it obvious to use such substrate in order to provide an epitaxial structure for applications where a high voltage blocking capability is required (page 2 of the specification, line 21,22).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's argument that Di Cioccio does not disclose growing, etching and then growing epitaxial layer; therefore, it does not follow that the structure of Di Cioccio

Art Unit: 1765

would include a terminated carrot defect within an epitaxial layer is found unpersuasive because Di Cioccio describes growing several epitaxial layers (paragraph 0026, 0027). The carrot defects would have to be terminated within each epitaxial layer since the deposition process is stopped before another epitaxial layer is formed. Furthermore, as described in pages 1-3, defects including carrot generally formed when an epitaxial layer is grown on a substrate.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 29 is allowable because Di Cioccio doesn't teach or suggest that his

Process would form a second epitaxial layer having fewer carrot defects therein than
the first epitaxial layer.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1765

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy-Vu N. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1765

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765 Page 6

12/18/06

SA